#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 045 024

HE 001 853

TITLE

Pass-Fail Evaluation: Phase II: Questionnaire

Analysis.

INSTITUTION

Washington Univ., Seattle. Office of Institutional

Educational Research.

REPORT NO

IER-132-2 27 Apr 70

PUB DATE

30p.

EDRS PRICE

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.60

DESCRIPTORS College Students, \*Grades (Scholastic), \*Grading,

\*Higher Education, \*Pass Fail Grading, \*Student

Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS

Seattle, \*Washington University

#### ABSTRACT

This report presents the results of a study that was undertaken to identify student attitudes toward the Pass-Fail (P-F) option at the University of Washington. A questionnaire designed to assess student opinions toward and possible behavioral changes resulting from P-F courses was sent to a random sample of sophomores, juniors and seniors enrolled in the Spring of 1969 who had originally entered the University before the Fall of 1968. Of 6,700 questionnaires distributed, 3,400 useable ones were returned. The major findings were: (1) the vast majority of students want the P-F option continued; (2) most students would have taken P-F courses for regular grades (R-G) had the P-F option not existed; (3) most P-F courses are taken for the relief they afford from grading pressure; (4) most students reported working less hard in P-F courses than in R-G courses; (5) roughly two-thirds of the students had not taken P-F courses in the Spring of 1969; and (6) roughly two-thirds of the students at the University will have taken P-F courses before graduation. (AF)



#### UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

#### Office of Institutional Educational Research\*

April 27, 1970

IER-132-2

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION DEVELOPED OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE FERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT, POINTS OF STATED ON ON THE SARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EQUI-

PASS-FAIL EVALUATION: PHASE II: QUESTIONNAIRE ANALYSIS

#### I. SUMMARY

The data reported in this paper indicate:

- (1) The vast majority of students want the P-F option continued.
- (2) Most students would have taken P-F courses for R-G had the P-F option not existed.
- (3) Most P-F courses are taken for the relief they afford from grading pressures.
- (4) Most students reported working less hard in P-F courses than in R-G courses.
- (5) Roughly two-thirds of the students had not taken P-F courses as of Spring, 1969.
- (6) Roughly two-thirds of the students at the University will have taken P-F courses before graduation.

It is suggested that more effort be expended to determine why one-third of the students at the University have no intention of enrolling in a P-F course.

#### II. INTRODUCTION

In Fall, 1968, the University of Washington embarked on a two year experimental period to evaluate student performance in and attitudes towards pass-fail (P-F) courses. (See IER-132-1, 1970, for more details on the experiment.)

<sup>\*</sup>Dr. James K. Morishima, Director Mr. Sidney S. Micek, Staff Associate



As reported in IER-132-1 (1970), the Office of Institutional Educational Research launched into a two phase investigation to probe the results of the first year of the P-F experiment. The initial report dealt with the grades awarded to P-F enrollees before the Registrat's Office converted the grades into "pass" or "fail." Generally, it was found that the grades awarded to P-F students were significantly lower than grades awarded to students who were enrolled in courses for regular grades (R-G).

#### III. PHASE II: STUDENT OPINION

This study was undertaken to identify the attitudes of students toward the P-F option.

#### A. Procedure

A questionnaire (Appendix B) was designed by IER and reviewed by Dr. H. Bee, Dr. J. B. Gillingham, Dr. A. A. Lumsdaine, and Dean W. Phillips. (Note: Appendix A is contained in IER-132-1). The questionnaire was designed to assess student opinions towards and possible behavioral changes resulting from P-F courses.

The questionnaire was sent to a random sample of sophomores, juniors, and seniors enrolled in Spring, 1969, who had originally entered the University before Fall, 1968. Because of the criterion established for enrollment in P-F courses--45 credits earned at the U of W--all freshmen were automatically excluded (45 credits means sophomore standing). Transfer students regardless of class standing would also have had to complete the equivalent of one full year's work at the University.

Of the 6700 questionnaires distributed, 6200 were delivered. Of these 3400 useable questionnaires were returned. This represented a 58 per cent return and a 55 per cent useable return rate.

#### 9. Results and Discussion



#### B. Results and Discussion

## 1. <u>Demographic Data</u> (Items 1-4)\*

Tables 13-16 present the demographic characteristics of the respondents. With the exception of cumulative U of W GPA, the distributions of the variables in the sample were reflections of the distributions in the population from which the sample was drawn.

#### a. Sex (Item 1)

Table 13 presents the number of males and females in the sample.

	TABL	13
	SEX	
	N	<b>%</b> _
Male Female	1947 1 <b>45</b> 8	57.1 42.8
Not answered		00.1
TOTAL	3409	100.0

#### b. <u>Class Standing</u> (Item 2)

The data arrayed in Table 14 present the class standings of the respondents.

TABLE 14
CLASS STANDING

Class	N	%
Soph.	796	23.4
Jr.	1038	30.5
Sr.	1478	43.4
Other	87	02.6
Not Answered	6	00.2



<sup>\*</sup>The item number refers to the number of the questionnaire item.

#### c. <u>Cumulative GPA</u> (item 3)

Students were asked to report their cumulative U of W GPAs as a check on the criterion that P-F enrollees must have a 2.0 cumulative U of W GPA. These results indicated that roughly one-fourth of the students reported in Table 15 as having cumulative GPAs of less than 2.0 had enrolled in P-F courses (20 of 84).

TABLE 15
CUMULATIVE U OF W GPA'S AS OF BEGINNING OF SPRING, 1969

Cum. U of W GPA		
Category	N	%
∴	84	02.5
2.00-2.24	430	12.7
2.25-2.49	619	18.2
2.50-2.74	629	18.5
2.75-2.99	652	19.2
3.00-3.24	466	13.7
3.25-3.49	281	08.3
3.50-3.74	176	05.2
3.75-4.00	60	01.8

On this measure, there were significant differences between the GPAs reported by students who returned questionnaires and those who did not. The major differences were in the lower U of W GPA categories. The reason for this is that students who had exercised the option tended to return the questionnaires at a higher rate than did those who did not. If students with lower GPAs abided by the 2.0 minimal GPA criterion, one would find relatively few P-F enrollees who fell within the lower U of W GPA categories. This, combined with a lower rate of return from students in the lower GPA categories who had not taken P-F courses, would result in a lower number of total respondents whose GPA's were less than 2.0. Since the proportion of less than 2.0 students

is

is relatively small, there is little reason to believe that the significant differences in cumulative U of W GPA's would appreciably modify the results obtained by the questionnaire.

#### d. College (Item 4)

Table 16 presents the colleges in which the respondents were matriculated.

1	ABLE 16	5	
	COLLEGE		
College	N	%	
Arch & U.P.	109	3.2	
A & S	1880	55.3	
B.A.	325	9.6	
Educ.	465	13.7	
Eng.	345	10.2	
Fish/For.	72	2.1	
Nursing	112	3.3	
Pharmacy	57	1.7	
Other	33	1.0	

### 2. Continuation of Pass-Fail

#### a. Continuation (Item 6)

Students were given a thumb-nail sketch of the present regulations governing P-F and were then asked their opinions (in structured form) about continuation of the option. All students in the sample were asked to respond to this item regardless of their personal experiences with the P-F option.

Table 17 displays the choices of undergraduates about the P-F option.

TABLE 17

CONTINUATION OF PASS-FAIL	OPTION	
	N	%
Continued as is	1446	43.6
Continued, but more restrictive	98	03.0
Continued, but Tess restrictive	1689	50.9
Discontinued	82	02.5
Not answered	2	00.1

It is evident that the vast majority of students favored the continuation of the P-F option in some form. Only 2.5 per cent of the students favored abolishment and 3 per cent indicated that the use of the option should be more restrictive. Other analyses of the data on continuation indicate little difference in the opinions expressed by those who had taken courses by P-F and those who had not.

These results replicate studies at other institutions, e.g., Princeton (Karlins et al, 1969), Brandeis (Sgan, 1969), Dartmouth (Feldenesser, 1967), and Stanford (Rand, 1967). Nationally, as well as locally, then, students generally react quite favorably to the P-F option.

#### b. Content Analysis (Item 6)

The data presented in Table 18 summarize the results of a content analysis of the item requesting respondents to explain their choices among the various possible ways of continuing the P-F option.

While at first blush it may appear that there were a substantial number of comments which might be classified as "negative," a number of them were tied to "positive" comments, e.g., one student who said, "The system causes laziness. If you have a good grade going into the final, why bone-up? But, I think it's great. It lets me take courses I'd never have taken without it. You damn well better not the final it." This student's remarks were content analyzed and included in two categories--"laziness" and "variety of courses".

It is evident, then, that students reacted positively to the option although many would like to see the option extended to cover proficiency, distributional, and major areas. In addition, many asked that more P-F credits



be applicable toward a baccaulaureate degree.

TABLE 18
CONTENT ANALYSIS OF REASONS FOR CONTINUATION
AND/OR MODIFICATION

	N	%*
Keep it as it is	414	15.1
Should not apply to courses in major	141	05.1
Causes laziness, lack of motivation	115	04.2
Must guard against advantage takers	88	03.2
Like grades, not just "P"	50	01.8
Enables variety of courses one wouldn't normally		
take - allows student individualism	715	26.0
More P-F (increase credits towards baccalaureate		
and/or credits per quarter)	<b>32</b> 8	11.9
No grade pressures	327	11.9
Allow frosh and/or transfers to take P-F courses	233	08.5
Eliminate all restrictions	193	07.0
Extend to proficiency and distribution requirements	133	04.8
Extend to language proficiency	128	04.7
P-F motivates learning for learning's sake	114	04.2
More time for R-G courses	∵97	03.5
Extend option to major area	86	03.1
Heavier course load possible	23	8.00
Allow probationary students to take P-F	17	00.6
Other	226	08.2
Grading system is faulty anyway	338	12.3
Other grading options, e.g., credit-no credit	107	03.9

These data also indicate that there are students who react negatively toward non-grades, i.e., there are students for whom grades are a necessity and/or for whom grades provide a motivating influence.

#### 3. Student Who Had Not Exercised Option

Students who had not taken any P-F courses during the 1968-1969 academic year were asked to respond to three additional questions (Item 7-9) to determine the reasons they had not exercised their options. Data from this section indicate



trer consiges transfor of recondents.

<sup>\*</sup>Per centages based on number of respondents.

in IER-132-1 would indicate that this proportion should be higher. These figures, then, indicate that there was a tendency among non-P-F users not to respond

#### a. Reason for not taking P-F (Item 7)

The major reasons students gave for <u>not</u> having taken a P-F course are presented in Table 19. The five structured items were chosen on the basis of pilot studies (pre-tests) which had been conducted in three classes in with 19 Winter, 1969.

TABLE 19

NON P-F USERS: MAJOR REASONS FOR NOT CHOOSING A P-F COURSE

Responses	N	%*
All my courses were in my major.	707	38.7
I did not have enough credits to qualify.	61	03:3
I did not know about it.	185	1011
I wanted or needed grades in all my		55.
courses to help my GPA.	604	<b>33</b> .1
I wanted to receive a grade to know how		
I did in each course.	311	17.0
Other	570	31.2

Roughly two of every five students indicate that they had not taken P-F courses because their course work consisted of major or required courses. [The enabling legislation (see Appendix A. IER-132-1) generally restricted the use of P-F to non-required courses.] This substantiates the resluts of the content analysis reported in Table 18 which showed 540 responses (20 per cent) dealing with extension of P-F to proficiency and/or distributional requirements, elimination of all restrictions, and extention to the major area.



<sup>\*</sup>Per centages based on number of respondents.

a grade in the course. Many of the 311 students who reported that they wanted or needed grades for feedback may be students who would not take P-F courses or credit-no credit courses because their motivations are fired by grades and without grades they might perform very poorly.

Among the 570 non-categorized responses were 75 dealing with insufficient GPA. These, then, are students who may have exercised the option had it not been for low grades.

Although one-third of the responses were classified as "other", there were no wither consistancies: in the category. Approximately 20 minor factors were identified and they were added into this category.

A small but not insignificant number (185) reported what they did not know about the option. The questionnaire may have performed a service function for many of them. One student, for example, penned his thanks for the P-F information contained on item 6 of the questionnaire. Beyond that, however, is the implication of inadequate communication between the University and its students. These students had either been told about P-F and forgotten about it or the information was inadequately transmitted to insure adequate reception.

[Editorial Comment: If the University decides to try the credit-no credit system, it is encumbent that the announcement be conveyed in sufficient detail to all students. This might be accomplished by notifying students at the time fee statements are sent to them.]

### b. Plans for future P-F courses (Item 8)

The data arrayed in Table 20 indicate that approximately one-half of the students who had not taken P-F courses do not plan to do so in the future.



The characteristics of these students should be investigated further. What reasons do they have for not taking P-F courses? Are they unable to take P-F courses because of the limitations, e.g., all reamining course work in the student's major? Are they too embroiled in the grading system to be rewarded for good performance by the "personal knowledge that they had done well"? Are they leary that graduate and/or professional schools would look askance at records with many P-F marks? Miss Decky Fiedler (1970) has been involved with fellow students at Knox College in a survey of the reactions of deans of graduate schools toward an applicant whose transcript consisted of many P-F marks. Most of the deans of graduate schools re-remarked a negative or neutral reaction and that much greater reliance would have to be placed upon recommendations and examination scores. For students faced with graduate or professional school who do not wish to rely on a one-shot test and/or recommendations, it would need advisable for themenot to take P-F sourses.

There are, then, many reasons why a student does not intend to take P-F courses in the future. Some of the reasons are volitional. Other reasons which are beyond the student's own control may exist. The 46 per cent reported as not planning to take a P-F course, then, is maximal. Here those factors beyond a student's personal control eliminated, a number of these students may well take P-F courses.

TABLE 20

THE NUMBER AND PER CENTAGE OF STUDENTS WHO ARE PLANNING
TO TAKE P-F COURSES IN THE FUTURE

Responses	N	%
Will take	944	51.7
Will not take	837	45.8
Maybe or Undecided	46	2.5



#### c. P-F Advantages (Item 9)

Table 21 presents the results of a content analysis of the advantages students felt P-F would offer them.

TABLE 21
CONTENT ANALYSIS OF MAJOR P-F ADVANTAGES

Category	N	%
Relief from grade pressures	887	63.5
Take courses of interest	554	39.7
No need to worry about grades reflecting on own ability (concern over own efficiency		
in a particular subject)	422	30.2
Able to experiment with new course areas More time for R-G courses, but can carry	246	17.6
regular credit load	193	13.88
Can meet certain distribution requirements [Note: generally not permitted by		
enabling legislation;	143	10.2
Can take heavier course load	73	05.2
Can choose to take course R-G or P-F	49	03.5
Helps improve GPA	43	03.1

Most cited advantages were in reference to GPA. In one way or another nearly all of the respondents cited grades ("relief . . .", "no need to worry . . .", and "helps improve . . ."). In addition, the category, "more time for . . . load", is generically related to grades.

Students also make reference to the fact that P-F enables them to expand their educational horizons ("take courses of interest" and "able to experiment . . . areas"). In addition, there is some overlap between exploration and grades in the category, ("no need to worry . . . subject").



<sup>\*</sup>Per centages based on number of respondents.

#### d. P-F Disadvantages (Item 9)

As shown in Table 22, the major disadvantages cited by students who did not enroll in P-F courses, like the advantages, revolved around grades as a motivator and indicator. Only 34 responses did <u>not</u> deal with grades in one form or another. Again, then, grades do serve as positively motivating factors for some students just as grades are aversive to others. In view of these data, it would seem necessary for any state institution which adopts a non-grading system (e.g., credit-no credit) or a semi-non-grading system (e.g., P-F) to make participation in the system voluntary.

TABLE 22
CONTENT AMALYSIS OF MAJOR DISADVANTAGES

Category	N	%
No incentive because of grades	166	69.5
No progress report, i.e., no grades Professors and institutions won't be able	47	19.7
to discriminate between students	23	09.6
Doesn't apply to distribution requirements	18	07.5
Doesn't apply to courses in major	. 16	06.7

#### e. <u>Summary</u>

One of the major reasons given by students for not having taken a P-F course hinged on grades. Roughly one-half of the students indicated they wanted or needed a grade in a course. In addition, a number of students (39 per cent) indicated that all the courses they had taken were in their majors.

Roughly one-half of the respondents who had not taken a P-F course had no intention of taking one in the future. It could be informative to see why one-quarter of all respondents (837 of 3400) chose not to take P-F courses.

One can make the assumption that the non-opters who responded were representative of all non-opters. In this condition, the proportion of students who have no intention of taking P-F courses would be higher than the one in four indicated by the data. This proportion may well be in the neighborhood of one-third of the qualified student body. This, it would seem, makes it even more imperative that the University try to determine why so many students do not take advantage of the option.

Grades were mentioned frequently as both an advantage and a disadvantage. It is evident from these results and from the general social and psychological milieu that grades are positive and/or aversive stimuli to many in higher education. That is working or learning for grades is helpful to many students and dysfunctional to others.

#### 4. P-F Enrollees (Item 10-13)

A final section of the questionnaire dealt with the attitudes and experiences of students who had taken P-F courses.

## a. College from which P-F course taken (Item 10)

While students were asked to indicate the actual departments from which courses were taken, the computer program was not able to handle the number of variables required for such a detailed analysis. For that reason, the department were collapsed into their parent colleges.

Table 23 presents the colleges from which students took P-F courses.



TABLE 23

COLLEGES FROM WHICH P-I	F COURSES	TAKEN
College	N	× %
Architecture	25	.01.1
Arts and Sciences	2197	91.5
Business Administration	on 125	05.2
Education	7	00.3
Engineering	22	00.9
Fisheries	1	00.0
Forestry	ż	00.0
Pharmacy	1	00.0
Librarionship	3	00.1
Medicine	12	200.5
Secretarial Studies	6	00.2

It is evident that the vast majority of courses taken were in the College of Arts and Sciences. Arts and Sciences was over-represented because students in other colleges could not, by and large, take courses in their own colleges (c.f. restriction to non-required courses). When they used the option, students were almost forced to take P-F courses from a college which offered service courses--A & S.

#### b. <u>Course Level</u> (item 10)

Table 24 presents the level of the course students took for P-F. To the extent that students use P-F to explore other areas, there should be a concentration of course work at the 100 level since most introductory courses are at the 100 level.

TABLE 24

LEVEL OF	P-F C	URSES
Level	М	%
100	996	41.5
200	763	31.9
300	390	16.3
400	243	10.2

It is evident that the foregoing expectation was not confirmed. Although more students took 100 level courses than any other single level, more than one-

half of the courses taken were at the 200,300, and 400 levels.

#### c. Quarter in which Courses Taken (Item 10)

P-F courses. With this item, one can determine not only the different quarters but also the quarter in which the first P-F course was taken. Table 25 presents these data.

TABLE 25

OUARTER IN WHICH COURSE TAKEN

Course no.	F	all	Win	ter	Spi	ring	Tot	al
	N	%	N.	%	N .	<b>%</b>	N	%
1	456	29.4	598	38.6	497	32.0	1551	100.0
2	23	03.6	255	39.5	368	57.0	646	100.1
3	6	03.4	12	06.9	156	89.7	174	100.0
4	1	08.3	-	-	11	91.7	32	100.0
Total	486	20.4	865	36.3	1032	43.3	2383	100.0

These data show an increasing use of P-F as the number of quarters since its inauguration increased. (See also Figure 1, IER-132-1). What is also significant is that more people took P-F courses for the first time Winter quarter than Fall quarter (598 vs. 456). Of those taking P-F courses Winter quarter, two-thirds were enrolled in their first P-F course. In contrast, the proportion of initial enrollees Spring quarter was roughly one-half.

It is also informative to look at the Fall quarter data. Seven of 486 students were enrolled in at least three P-F courses in Fall, 1968. While it is possible that these students took low credit courses and that it took three or four courses to total 5 credits, it is also possible that with no one policing the credits P-F students were taking, some students took more than 5 credits in a given quarter. (One is reminded of the "little old sections lady" who prevented one student from registering for 15 credits of P-F one quarter.) It is anticipated that a fall-out of the studies suggested in

IER-132-1 will be the isolation of the number of students enrolled for more than 5 credits of P-F during a single quarter.

#### d. Function of P-F Course (Item 10)

Students were also asked to indicate the function the P-F course served. Table 26 presents the frequency distribution of the responses.

TABLE 26
FUNCTION SERVED BY P-F COURSE

Function	N	%
Satisfied dept. major requirement	431	18.2
	929	39.1
Satisfied both of above	13	00.5
Other and satisfied major req.	4	00.2
Other and satisfied sp. list req.	10	00.4
Other	987	41.6

These data indicate that roughly two-fifths of the P-F courses were taken for reasons other than to satisfy departmental major requirements and/or "special list" requirements. Put another way, most students who had taken P-F courses took them to satisfy requirements, i.e. they would have taken the courses regardless of the P-F option.

#### e. Would Course have been Taken by Regular Grades? (Item 10)

Students were, in fact, asked whether they would have taken the course had it not been possible to take them P-F. Table 27 presents the students' responses.

TABLE 27
WOULD COURSE BEEN TAKEN FOR REGULAR GRADE?

•	N	%
Yes	1746	72.6
No	633	26.3
Don't		
know	25	01.0

The data presented in Table 27 substantiate the implications of the data exhibited in Table 26. Seventy-two per cent of the courses would have been



taken for regular grade had the P-F option not been in existence. This may be compared with nearly 60 per cent of the courses which were taken to satisfy departmental or special list requirements.

These results indicate, then, that although a number of students taking P-F courses are taking courses they would not normally take, the proportion is not as high as had been hoped when the program was approved.

#### f. Reasons for Response in "e" (Item 10)

Students were also asked to give the reasons why they would (or would not) have taken the course if it had not been possible to do so by P-F. Table 28 presents the results of the content analysis of the item.

TABLE 28
REASONS FOR TAKING (OR NOT TAKING) COURSE IF NO P-F OPTION

Reasons	N	%*	%**
Yes, even if no P-F	<b>1</b> 516	109.4	74. l
Course was required Course required plus student interested in it. Course required and student felt he'd do well Interested in the course Not worried about the grade anyway Needed the credit so would have taken Other	632 55 7 534 46 157 85	45.6 04.0 00.5 38.5 03.3 11.3 06.1	30.9 02.7 00.3 26.1 02.2 07.7 04.2
No, not if no P-F	530	38.2	25.9
Fear low GPA Couldn't do well Too much effort to get good grade Course load too heavy Other	177 169 68 52 64	12.8 12.2 04.9 03.8 04.6	08.7 08.3 03.3 02.5 03.1

<sup>\*</sup>Percentage based on number of respondents. 
\*\*Percentage based on number of responses.

Approximately three-quarters of the courses taken P-F would have been taken regardless of the P-F option. Over one-third gave as a sole, or related, reason the required nature of the course.



Similar results were reported at Stanford (Rand, 1967) and Dartmouth (Feldenesser, 1967). Both investigators found that three-quarters of the students who took courses by P-F would have taken those courses for R-G.

Fear that the course would have resulted in a low grade would have dissuaded students from taking 177 of the courses. In addition, if the 169 courses in which students felt they would not have done well were added, 346 of the courses would not have been taken because of a fear of not doing well. These two reasons, then, account for two-thirds of the courses that would not have been taken for R-G.

#### g. Other Comments (Item 10)

Table 29 presents the content analysis of the other reasons students gave for having taken courses by P-F.

TABLE 29
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Comment	Ñ	%*	%**
Grade pressure	583	56.4	27.2
More time to devote to R-G classes	336	32.5	15.7
Able to take courses of personal interest	311	30.1	14.5
No worry about ability differences	292	28.3	13.6
Free to experiment with other areas	146	14.1	06.8
Could take heavier load	145	14.0	06.7
Could satisfy special list requirements	106	10.3	04.9
Try Qut P-F option	45	04.4	02.1
Take course from out-standing professor	37	93.6	01.7
Other	141	13.6	06.6

<sup>\*</sup>Percentage based on number of respondents.
\*\*Percentage based on number of responses.

The freedom from grade pressures was again mentioned by a substantial number of students (56 per cent) and in a substantial number of responses (27 per cent). In fact, a number of other categories have implications for grades. The advantage of being able to devote more time to R-G classes, for

example, contains the implication that a P-F course enables a student to obtain the same number of credits as under an R-G system while allowing him to devote more time to his R-G classes (he need earn only a "D" for a pass).

Freedom from worry about competing with other students with more ability in the discipline area also contains grade implications. Again, a student need perform only on an adequate level and need not concern himself with the "rate-busters," i. e., students whose performances on tests raise the grading curve.

These three areas, then, account for 56.5 per cent of the responses. The results substantiate data reported earlier in this paper. A substantial number of students use P-F to free themselves from worrying over grades. While there are students to whom grades are aversive, these data can not indicate whether the P-F enrollees do in fact view grades as aversive. The content analyses revealed few students who were opposed to the R-G system per se. In looking at the data, one gets the feeling that students are generally using P-F to raise their grades by effectively reducing their credit loads.

Rand (1967) reports similar results in a study at Stanford. She found that 82 per cent of the students who took P-F courses did so to avoid the competition for grades and the majority used P-F to devote more time to other courses. Karlins (1969) reports that students at Princeton tend to use P-F to reduce grade pressures and to increase study time in regular courses. There was no concrete evidence that great numbers of students used P-F because of interest in the discipline of the P-F course. At Dartmouth, Feldenesser (1967) reported that students used the P-F option to reduce their work load and/or to take care of their distributional requirements.

Sgan (1969), on the other hand, found at Brandeis that students used the

option in their first year to explore and experiment in diverse curricular areas and that evaluational anxieties were substantially reduced.

#### h. Effort in P-F Courses (Item 11)

The data arrayed in Table 30 indicate that roughly two students in five said they worked as hard in P-F courses as they did in R-G courses. It should be indicated that this is probably an over-estimate. Students were well aware that the questionnaire was designed to evaluate the P-F experiment and that indications of less work in P-F courses was liable to have deletorious effects. It is, therefore, likely that a number (not ascertained) indicated they worked as hard in P-F courses as in R-G courses when, in fact, they did not.

# TABLE 30 EFFORT IN P-F COURSES

	N	L
Definitely didn't work as hard in P-F courses	294	24.5
Probably didn't work as hard in P-F courses	446	37.0
Worked just as hard in P-F	440	37.0
courses	464 <sup>-</sup>	38.5

The results reported in Table 30 do conflict with the conclusions of a University of Michigan study which found that students worked just as hard in P-F courses as they did in R-G courses. The extent to which students expend equivalent or greater effort in P-F courses is dependent to a large extent upon the motive of the "opter." That is, it is likely that a student who enrolls in a P-F course for personal satisfaction will expend a great deal of time and energy in the course because of his interest. (In addition, there are students who are unable to reduce their efforts even if they would.) It is also likely that students who use P-F as an opportunity to fulfill the special list requirements or some departmental major requirements with no

4. 34.

real interest in the course will "slough off."

#### i. Study Habits (Item 12)

Students were asked whether there were any changes in their study habits in P-F courses as opposed to R-G courses. Table 31 presents the responses of students to this item. The italicized entries are the result of a content analysis of the ways P-F affected study habits and the percentages are based on the 586 "yes" responses.

TABLE 31
EFFECT OF P-F ON STUDY HABITS

Not sure	526 103	43.3 08.5
did required reading more reading less reading varied reading by interest no reading at all  Effects on note-taking good note-taking (same as always) less note-taking than usual	586	48.2
good note-taking (same as always) less note-taking than usual	174 45 19 72 28 9	29.7 07.7 03.2 12.3 04.8 01.5
rely on <u>Lecture Notes</u>	115 48 39 10 18	19.6 08.2 06.7 01.7 03.1
Effects on attendance went as often as for R-G went less often	183 89 94	31.2 15.2 16.0
Less pressure	250	42.7
Time more time studying less time studying no time studying	263 20 201 15 27	44.9 03.4 34.3 02.6 04.6

The data indicate that slightly more students felt the P-F courses affected their study habits than did not. From a straight-laced academic view, one would have hoped that there would have been no negative effects on study habits

(there is a direct relationship between time spent studying and the amount of material learned). Positive academic factors might be (1) did the required reading, (2) did more reading, (3) good note-taking, (4) attended class as often as for R-G, (5) more time spent studying, and (6) same time studying. These 6 categories account for 248 responses out of 985 responses classified (25.2 per cent).

Negative academic effects might include all of the remaining categories with the exception of "less pressure." Those categories account for 487 of the responses classified (49.4 per cent). The "neutral" category, "less pressure," accounts for 42.7 per cent of the classified responses.

Rand (1967) and Feldenesser (1967) found similar results. Rand, for example, reported that 60 per cent of the P-F students reported that they did not work as hard in P-F courses.

#### j. <u>Course Load</u> (Item 13)

The data presented in the thirty-second and final table indicate that roughly one-third of the students took a heavier academic load as a result of P-F.

# TABLE 32 EFFECT OF P-F ON COURSE LOAD

	N	%
Took a heavier load	<b>38</b> 9	31.9
Did not take a heavier load	816	66.9
Did both in different quarters	14	01.2

#### .k. <u>Summary</u> (Item 10-13)

The P-F option was exercised by a sizeable group of students. These data indicate that a probable maximal per centage of "opters" was 46 per cent. It is likely that this proportion was lower since it is based solely on the proportion of respondents who indicated they had taken P-F courses. Students who had not exercised the option tended not to respond.



Generally, the motives students had for exercising the option were not as noble as had been hoped. That is, a majority of P-F enrollees took P-F courses for reasons other than to explore new disciplines and/or personal satisfaction.

#### BIGLICGRAPHY

- Feldenesser, Robert A. "The Option: Analysis of An Educational Innovation." An unpublished report, Darmouth, University, Hanover, 1967.
- Fiedler, Decky, Personal conversation 1/70 on a Knox College unpublished report.
- Karlins, F., Kaplan, F., and Stuart, G. "Academic Attitudes and Performance As A Function of Differential Grading Systems: An Evaluation of Princeton's Pass-Fail System." <u>Journal of Experimental Education</u>, 37, (Spring, 1969), 38-50.
- IER-132-1. Morishima, J. .. and Micek, S. S. <u>Pass-Fail Evaluation: Phase I:</u>
  <u>Grade Distribution Analysis</u>, Seattle, Mashington: University of Mashington,
  <u>Office of Institutional Educational Research</u>, April 10, 1970.
- Rand, Colleen S. W. "The 'Pass-Fail' Option--An Evaluation of the Stanford University Experience." An unpublished report, Stanford University, Palo Alto, 1967.
- Sgan, Hatthew R. "The First Year of Pass-Fail at Brandeis University." <u>Journal of Higher Education</u>, 40, 1969, 135-144.

# UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Programme the second of the second second

# PASS-FAIL QUESTIONNAIRE

e des de la desegración de segundo especial de la composition della composition dell

The Market of Antone greater that gives to the source of t

ente de Apole Colore de Visita de la composition de

ng pangangan pangangan pangan pan Pangan panga Pangan panga



## UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON Office of Institutional Educational Research

Spring Quarter 1969

Dear Student:

When the faculty of the College of Arts and Sciences approved the Pass-Fail Grading Option for two years, it asked the College's Ad Hoc Committee on the Bachelor's Degree to evaluate the option and to make recommendations to the faculty about its continuation.

To assist in the evaluation, a survey of undergraduate students is being conducted. The Committee will use the results of the survey as one of the pieces of information upon which to base its recommendations.

Although the study is being conducted for the College of Arts and Sciences, the Committee is asking students from all of the Colleges to complete this questionnaire regardless of whether or not they have taken a course by Pass-Fail. The questionnaire has been designed for speedy completion and will take only a few minutes of your time. Please carefully complete the questionnaire and return it promptly in the stamped self-addressed envelope provided.

The individual results of this survey will be kept entirely confidential. You will notice that at the bottom of this page you are asked to give your name. This is requested solely to facilitate any follow-up necessary to insure the high rate of return required for a valid study. Your name will immediately be torn off the questionnaire when it is received.

You may be assured that the study will be conducted in accordance with strict professional research ethics by the University's Office of Institutional Educational Research which has been asked to assist the Ad Hoc Committee on the Bachelor's Degree in evaluating the Pass-Fail Grading Option.

Thank you for your assistance.

J.B. Gillingham
Associate Professor and Chairman
College of Arts and Sciences'
Ad Hoc Committee on the Bachelor's Degree

NAME (please print):		 	
	(Last)	(First)	(Initial)



## UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON Office of Institutional Educational Research

# UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT PASS-FAIL GRADING QUESTIONNAIRE Spring Quarter 1969

	Sex:		
	?	iale	Female
		androne (1965) Karaman Marian (1965)	ing the state of t
2.	Class Standi		r germania ya kwa na jiwa wa 1997.
	— '	Sophomore	Senior
		lunior	Other (please specify)
	O1 04 11	Le se one	
э.	Cumulative U		teregologi streto e de la comi
	- 1		3.00-3.24
		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.25-3.49
	1. 66 - <del></del>	.25-2.49	
		50-2.74	3.75-4.00
		2.75-2.99	to the room of an officer of the original
1			
4.	College:		
	/	arch. & Urban Planning	Fish, or Forestry
		Arts and Sciences	Nursing
	:	Business Administration	Pharmacy
	1	ducation	Other (please specify)
	. —		
		Ingineering	
5.	I Major(s):		
<b>5.</b>	Major(s): The use of the students who ington and with many as five may continue of 25 credit.		s at the University of Wash- n. The student may elect as al pass-fail basis, and he until he has reacned a total s for the baccalaureate
	The use of the students who ington and who many as five may continue of 25 credited degree. In y	ne pass-fail option is currently have earned at least 45 credit to are not on academic probation credits a quarter on an option to elect courses on this basis in the program that he submit	s at the University of Wash- n. The student may elect as al pass-fail basis, and he until he has reacned a total s for the baccalaureate
	The use of the students who ington and who was five may continue of 25 credited degree. In y	he pass-fail option is currently have earned at least 45 credition are not on academic probation credits a quarter on an option to elect courses on this basis in the program that he submit your opinion, should the pass-f	s at the University of Wash- n. The student may elect as al pass-fail basis, and he until he has reacned a total s for the baccalaureate
	The use of the students who ington and wimany as five may continue of 25 creditions and the students of 25 creditions.	he pass-fail option is currently have earned at least 45 credition are not on academic probation credits a quarter on an option to elect courses on this basis in the program that he submit your opinion, should the pass-frontinued as is	s at the University of Wash- n. The student may elect as al pass-fail basis, and he until he has reacned a total s for the baccalaureate
	The use of the students who ington and who as five may continue of 25 credited degree. In y	he pass-fail option is currently have earned at least 45 credition are not on academic probation credits a quarter on an option to elect courses on this basis in the program that he submit your opinion, should the pass-frontinued as is	s at the University of Wash- n. The student may elect as al pass-fail basis, and he until he has reacned a total s for the baccalaureate
	The use of the students who ington and who as five may continue of 25 credited degree. In y	have earned at least 45 credition are not on academic probation credits a quarter on an option to elect courses on this basis in the program that he submit your opinion, should the pass-frontinued as is continued but MORE restrictive continued but LESS restrictive	s at the University of Wash- n. The student may elect as al pass-fail basis, and he until he has reacned a total s for the baccalaureate
	Major(s): The use of the students who ington and with many as five may continue of 25 creditions. In the students of 25 creditions of 25	have earned at least 45 credition are not on academic probation credits a quarter on an option to elect courses on this basis in the program that he submit your opinion, should the pass-frontinued as is continued but MORE restrictive continued but LESS restrictive	s at the University of Wash- n. The student may elect as al pass-fail basis, and he until he has reacned a total s for the baccalaureate



IF YOU HAVE  $\underline{\text{NOT}}$  TAKEN ANY COURSES BY PASS-FAIL, AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, COMPLETE QUESTIONS 7, 8, and 9, AND RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

IF YOU HAVE TAKEN A COURSE BY PASS-FAIL, SKIP TO QUESTION 10.

	e <u>NOT</u> taken a course by pass-fail, what are the main reasons t done so? (check all applicable)
	_ All my courses were in my major
	I did not have enough credits to qualify
	I did not know about it
	I wanted or needed grades in all my courses to help my GPA
	I wanted to receive a grade to know how I did in each course
	Other (please specify)
8. At present in the futi	, are you planning to take a course by pass-fail at some time ure?
	_ Yes No
	he advantages and/or disadvantages to you, personally, to ass-fail grading option?

10. What courses did you take by pass-fail and why?

4	(P 48	<u> </u>	<u>ο</u> Ω	3	(P 02	нс	0 0	N	10 0	HE	° C	<b>Jus</b>	0	0 H C	<u>о С</u>	Department
	-								. % <u>-</u> 				* 1 ***** *	-		nent Course Number
							( 2-									se Course Title
	<u> </u>	69, MJ	<u> </u>		<u>17</u> /s '69	69. M.T	<i>∐</i> F '68		1/s '69					69, M.7		Quarter Taken (check)
	//Other (please specify)	/Satisfied "special list" requirements (A&S only)	//Satisfied departmental major requirements		/Other (please specify)	//Satisfied "special list" requirements (A&S only)	/Satisfied departmental major requirements			_/Satisfied "special list' requirements (A&S only)	/Satisfied departmental major requirements		/Other (please specify)	//Satisfied "special list" requirements (A&S only)		What function did this course serve in your total educational program (check)
																ments you wish on why you took this course by pass-fail
						L No	L/Yes Why or Why Not?				L/Yes Why or Why Not?				//Yes Why or Why Not?	taken this course had it NOT been on pass-fail? (please check and explain)

	Definitely, did not work as hard in	pass-fail	course(s)		
	Probably did not work as hard in pas	ss-fail co	ourse(s)		
	Worked just as hard (or harder) in p	ass-fail	course(s)		
	Any comments?			<u>.</u>	
12.	In general, did your study habits (e.g., amount preparing for exams, class attendance, note taki pass-fail courses?	or intending, etc.,	ity of rea ) change i	ding, n your	
	hass-rarr contses:				
				Not	Sur
	Yes No	udy habita	- changed _	Not	Sur
		ıdy habit:	- s changed _	Not	Sur
	Yes No	udy habita	changed _	Not	Sur
	Yes No  If answered "yes," briefly describe how your stu	udy habits	s changed _	Not	Sur
13.	Yes No  If answered "yes," briefly describe how your stu	t some stu lit load, ake more l take a hea	idents take while othe nours. As	a pass- r studen a result t load	ts
13.	YesNo  If answered "yes," briefly describe how your stu  Studies at some universities have indicated that fail course without increasing their normal cred use the pass-fail option as an opportunity to ta of the pass-fail option at the U of W, did you t than you normally would have taken because you w	t some stu lit load, ake more l take a hea	idents take while othe nours. As	a pass- r studen a result t load	ts

South Andrews So

Care a Care de Lavaria